

General: Why is rsh not working?

Why does RSH not work?

Symptoms

`rsh <hostname> <command>` results in `poll: protocol failure in circuit setup`

`/var/log/messages` contains `in.rshd` message like `"could not allocate space for cmdbufl"`

`strace -f in.rsha` contains messages like:

```
4105 mmap(NULL, 4611686018427392000, PROT_READ|PROT_WRITE, MAP_PRIVATE|MAP_ANONYMOUS, -1, 0) = -1 ENOMEM (Cannot allocate memory)
```

```
4105 fd(0x40002aaaaac1000) = 0x2aaaaac000
```

```
4105 mmap(NULL, 4611686018427392072, PROT_READ|PROT_WRITE, MAP_PRIVATE|MAP_ANONYMOUS, -1, 0) = -1 ENOMEM (Cannot allocate memory)
```

```
4105 mmap(NULL, 13421728, PROT_NONE, MAP_PRIVATE|MAP_ANONYMOUS|MAP
```

```
NORESERVE, -1, 0) = 0x2aaaaac785000
```

Cause

Looking into `netkit-rsh`'s source code one notices the following:

`file rexecd.c`

```
cmdbuflen = sysconf (_SC_ARG_MAX);
```

General: Why is rsh not working?

```
if (!(cmdbuflen > 0))
```

```
syslog (LOG_ERR, "sysconf ( _SC_ARG_MAX) failed")
```

```
fatal ("sysconf ( _SC_ARG_MAX) failed\n")
```

```
|
```

```
...
```

```
cmdbuf = malloc ( ++cmdbuflen)
```

```
if (cmdbuf == NULL)
```

```
syslog (LOG_ERR, "Could not allocate space for cmdbuf")
```

```
fatal ("Could not allocate space for cmdbuf\n")
```

```
|
```

The problem lies in the malloc statement as it is trying to allocate `_SC_ARG_MAX` bytes of memory. `_SC_ARG_MAX` represents `ARG_MAX`, as defined by the values returned by `sysconf()`, the maximum number of bytes of arguments and environment data that can be passed in an `exec` function. Traditionally Linux used a hardcoded: `#define MAX_ARG_PAGES 32`



to limit the total size of the arguments passed to the `execve()` (including the size of the 'environment'). That limited the `maxlen` of the arguments passed to about 128KB (minus the size of the 'environment'). With `Linux-2.6.23`, this hardcoded limit was removed. `ARG_MAX` is not statically defined anymore by `glibc` (i.e. in a header file), but `glibc` computes its value from the userspace stack size (`sysdeps/unix/sysv/linux/sysconf.c`)

The following C program prints the value of `_SC_ARG_MAX` when executed on a Linux system running kernel 2.6.32:

```
[root@node001 ~]# cat test.c
```

```
#include <stdio.h>
```

```
#include <unistd.h>
```

General: Why is rsh not working?

```
int main()
```

```
return printf("ARG_MAX: %ld\n", sysconf(_SC_ARG_MAX));
```

```
|
```

```
root@node001 ~|# gcc test.c -o test
```

```
root@node001 ~|# ./test
```

```
ARG_MAX: 4611686018427387903
```

Since RSH is setting its command buffer size value to `_SC_ARG_MAX`, this would require a huge amount of memory to be allocated and such an amount of memory is unlikely to be present in most computers!!!

Solution

A.

Create a wrapper around rsh that sets a reasonable userspace stack size:

```
#!/bin/bash
```

```
ulimit -s 262144 /usr/sbin/in.rshd
```

The numeric argument to the `ulimit` command is the number of kilobytes, so the `262144` in the example above stands for `209715200` bytes, which is exactly `256` MiB. Also, note, that `'ulimit'` is a `sh/bash` builtin command. The number you specify when editing a file in `/etc/security/limits.d/` is also the number of kilobytes, so an example line for setting the stack size globally in this way (instead of with the wrapper) would be the following: `'-stack 262144'`

Edit `/etc/xinetd.d/rsh` so that it points to the wrapper instead of to the original `'in.rshd'` binary by altering the `'server'` directive in that file.

General: Why is rsh not working?

B. (Nov. 2013)

You can opt to install the patched rsh RPMS provided by Bright. The RPMs can be downloaded from:

<http://support.brightcomputing.com/rsh/>

Unique solution ID: #1153

Author: Panos Labropoulos

Last update: 2013-11-25 20:12